

Introducing Homeopathy, The Film – A Portayal of the Current State of Homeopathy

The film, *Introducing Homeopathy*, is not a documentary to support the advancement of homeopathy, but a carefully choreographed movie (theatre) designed to placate Allopathy by perpetuating the Allopathic Model, and at the same time undermining Homeopathy.

The movie at the time of writing is not available to view for free, though short clips can be seen at: <https://www.youtube.com/@IntroducingHomeopathyFilm>

In the short time the film was available for viewing, I found the following examples to support my claim:

Example 1

Quoting Karl Robinson MD

“Now there's nothing wrong, particularly with the allopathic model. But when it comes to chronic problems, and especially chronic problems for which they don't have particularly effective treatments, it kind of falls apart.”

Karl Robinson MD at 26:15 – 26:28

The allopathic model believes a patients signs and symptoms are their disease. It uses poisons, so called drugs, to create symptoms: a drug disease, that is contrary to the persons natural disease, contrary to their signs and symptoms – contraria contrariis. For example, for someone who is constipated, a laxative is given.

We know that drugs create diseases due to so called 'side effects'. These aren't side effects, they are *the* effects from taking the drug. The allopathic model does not cure, but does harm due to the creation of drug diseases.

The allopathic model is actually a business model as it promotes the use of drugs: patent pharmaceuticals, that create more disease, resulting in chronic diseases requiring more drugs, ad infinitum.

Karl Robinson saying there is “nothing wrong . . with the Allopathic model” is clearly fallacious.

It is interesting to note that Karl Robinson trained at the Royal London Homoeopathic Hospital. This hospital changed its name in 2010 to the Royal London Hospital for Integrated Medicine, reflecting the services now available, while undermining its original purpose as a homoeopathic hospital.

Example 2

Quoting Ronald D. Whitmont MD

“And the trick in homeopathy is not to find a new drug. It is to find the appropriate drug for the individual.”

Ronald D. Whitmont MD at 27:20 – 27:28

There are no tricks in homeopathy, it is a science based on a Law of Nature: Likes Cure Likes.

Example 3

Homeopathy in Epidemics: Lessons from History

Cuba's 'Homeopathic Treatment'

While the doses were given orally for Cuba's 'Homeopathic Treatment', the film deceives the viewer with images of people given intramuscular injections in the shoulder, thus continuing to support the allopathic treatment of vaccination.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S5_9o6W74rE

Images at 1:22 – 1:27

Example 4

Homeopathy in Epidemics: Lessons from History:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S5_9o6W74rE

i) Quoting the Narrator,

“Perhaps the most notable 20th Century application [of homoeopathy] on a large scale took place in Cuba where in 2007 2.4 million people were given homeopathic treatment in anticipation of the annual deadly leptospirosis season.”

Narrator at 0:56 – 1:11

ii) Quoting Gustavo Bracho, PhD

“We were facing an epidemic, a dangerous epidemic in a region of Cuba and then we used homeopathic remedies to treat 2.4 million people. . . . in the time frame of two weeks, we were able to decrease the incidence below the historical levels of the disease.”

Gustavo Bracho, PhD at 1:11 – 1:54

Specific Diseases

Homeopathy does not treat specific diseases, homeopathy treats suffering people. The signs and symptoms a person displays is not their disease. Their disease is a distortion in their vital force, while their signs and symptoms is their attempt to heal themselves, the best they can do.

“The specific disease doctrine is the grand refuge of weak, uncultured, unstable minds, such as now rule in the medical profession. There are no specific diseases: there are specific disease-conditions.”

Florence Nightingale in Hume, E. D. (1923) *Béchamp or Pasteur?*, C. W. Daniel Company Limited, Ashington, page 150.

<https://archive.org/details/bechamp-or-pasteurr-a-lost-chapter-in-the-history-of-biology.-3rd-edition/page/150/mode/2up>

The presence of 'pathogenic' strains of Gram-negative bacterium *Leptospira* spp., rather than being causative, are secondary to their seasonal suffering. Their suffering is actually due to the intense rainfall during the annual hurricane season, creating infrastructure damage, drinking water shortages, sewage leakage, toxic stagnant water from rotting garbage, food shortages, terror and trauma. In 2007 and 2008, the damage was extensive.

Hygiene in Cuba



14ymedio quoting Yamilé from Havana

'The truth, she explains, is that “these diseases, such as leptospirosis, dengue or cholera arise from the poor hygiene of Cuban cities, where garbage piles up in any corner and rots there for days.” This, she adds, happens not only in Havana, but in any part of the country where “the same unhealthy situation exists.”' Quote from Yamilé, a 45-year-old woman from Havana interviewed by 14ymedio.

<https://translatingcuba.com/leptospirosis-skyrockets-in-cuba-the-country-of-rats-and-mountains-of-garbage/>

“Shall we begin by taking it as a general principle—that all disease, at some period or other of its course, is more or less a reparative process, not necessarily accompanied with suffering : an effort of nature to remedy a process of poisoning or of decay, which has taken place weeks, months, sometimes years beforehand, unnoticed, the termination of the disease being then, while the antecedent process was going on, determined ?”

Nightingale, F. (1859) *Notes on Nursing : What it is and What it is Not*, Harrison, London, page 5.

https://archive.org/details/notesonnursingnigh00nigh_202508/page/n7/mode/2up

“Is it not living in a continual mistake to look upon diseases, as we do now, as separate entities, which must exist, like cats and dogs ? instead of looking upon them as conditions, like a dirty and a clean condition, and just as much under our own control ; or rather as the reactions of kindly nature, against the conditions in which we have placed ourselves.

I was brought up, both by scientific men and ignorant women, distinctively to believe that small-pox, for instance, was a thing of which there was once a first specimen in the world, which went on propagating itself, in a perpetual chain of descent, just as much as that there was a first dog, (or a first pair of dogs), and that small-pox would not begin itself any more than a new dog would begin without there having been a parent dog.

Since then I have seen with my eyes and smelt with my nose small-pox growing up in first specimens, either in close rooms or in overcrowded wards, where it could not by any possibility have been “ caught,” but must have begun.

Nay, more, I have seen diseases begin, grow up, and pass into one another. Now, dogs do not pass into cats.

I have seen, for instance, with a little overcrowding, continued fever grow up ; and with a little more, typhoid fever ; and with a little more, typhus, and all in the same ward or hut.

Would it not be far better, truer, and more practical, if we looked upon disease in this light ?

For diseases, as all experience shows, are adjectives, not noun substantives."

Nightingale, F. (1859) *Notes on Nursing : What it is and What it is Not*, Harrison, London, page 19.

https://archive.org/details/notesonnursingnigh00nigh_202508/page/18/mode/2up

Homeopathic Treatment

Each persons reaction during the hurricane season would be different, hence their signs and symptoms would be different. Homeopathy is an Individualised System of Medicine, that requires an individualised remedy in each case, a drug that matches the totality of each suffering persons symptoms to bring about an overall restoration of health to each individual.

Homeopathy is based on the Law of Similars, Likes Cure Likes, and the Rule of Homeopathy, Let Likes be Treated by Likes.

A drug is selected that produces a disease (a drug disease) that is similar to the individuals natural disease. According to the Law of Similars, Likes Cure Likes, if the similar drug disease is stronger than the individuals natural disease, the drug disease extinguishes the natural disease. Then the freed vital force easily overcomes the drug disease.

Cuba's 'Homeopathic Treatment'

Cuba's oral treatment consisted of giving nosoLEP, a dilution of four strains of inactivated leptospiras (10^6 bacteria/mL), with two doses of nosoLEP 200C given 7 to 9 days apart in 2007, followed by two doses of nosoLEP 10MC given 7 to 9 days apart in 2008.

Note: While the doses were given orally, as noted in Example 3, the film shows images of people given intramuscular injections in the shoulder, thus continuing to support the allopathic treatment of vaccination by injection.

Homoeoprophylaxis

The 'homeopathic treatment' was given as a preventative for a specific disease – leptospirosis: in other words as a homoeoprophylaxis.

Isaac Golden argues in favour of homoeoprophylaxis:

'However HP [homoeoprophylaxis] has considerable support internationally being, as it is, part of Hahnemann's "classical" method based squarely on the Law of Similars, and first used by him in 1798.'

Golden, I. (2010) 'Homoeopathic Immunisation Against Leptospirosis in Cuba' in *Hpathy*, November 8.

<https://hpathy.com/homeopathy-papers/homoeopathic-immunisation-against-leptospirosis-in-cuba/>

"Considerable support internationally" is no reason to justify the use of homoeoprophylaxis. Allopathy also has considerable support internationally, using pharmaceuticals with effects pernicious to health. The effects, mistakenly called side effects, are in reality just drug effects. As part of their business model, manufacturers take into account fines for death and harm due to drugs taken by patients.

As far as homoeoprophylaxis being based squarely on the Law of Similars this is a great misunderstanding.

Vithoulkas, G. (2016) 'Homoeoprophylaxis: The Great Misunderstanding' in *Homœopathic Links*, Vol. 29, No. 2/2016, Thieme Medical and Scientific Publishers Private Ltd., pages 111-112.

<https://www.thieme-connect.com/products/ejournals/pdf/10.1055/s-0036-1582469.pdf>

The 'homeopathic treatment' was given as a preventative, the nosoLEP was not given for a pathology or disease that was present. This means nosoLEP could not be the similar remedy, and therefore could not be homeopathic to the case.

Also, as nosoLEP 200C and 10MC had not been 'proven' in homeopathic terms, its effect on the healthy was unknown. It would be more accurate to describe Cuba's 'Homeopathic Treatment' as an Allopathic Treatment, with a drug given orally that had undergone serial dilution and succussion.

As is usual for mass allopathic drug administration, no consideration of each individual's current state of health was given.

An assumption has been made that giving nosoLEP caused the incidence of leptospirosis to fall below historical levels. It is also automatically assumed that vaccines do the same thing.

But did giving nosoLEP, the equivalent of an allopathic treatment, cause people to become so unwell that they couldn't show signs of 'leptospirosis disease'? Was it that their health was so compromised they couldn't show signs of trying to heal themselves while living in an unhygienic environment, with extensive hurricane damage, and its consequences – the real cause of their seasonal suffering?

In other words, did the 'Homeopathic Treatment' just suppress symptoms, as the real cause of their suffering was still present?

Quoting Samuel Hahnemann – Aphorism 1 and footnote 1.

"The physician's high and *only* mission is to restore the sick to health, to cure, as it is termed.¹

¹ His mission is not, however, to construct so-called systems, by interweaving empty speculations and hypotheses concerning the internal essential nature of the vital processes and the mode in which diseases originate in the invisible interior of the organism, (whereon so many physicians have hitherto ambitiously wasted their talents and their time) ; nor is it to attempt to give countless explanations regarding the phenomena in diseases and their proximate cause (which ever must remain concealed), wrapped in unintelligible words and an inflated abstract mode of expression, which should sound very learned in order to astonish the ignorant—whilst sick humanity sighs in vain for aid. Of such learned reveries (to which the name of *theoretic medicine* is given, and for which special professorships are instituted) we have had quite enough, and it is now high time that all who call themselves physicians should at length cease to deceive suffering mankind with mere talk, and *begin* now, instead, for once to *act*, that is, really to help and to cure."

Samuel Hahnemann, *Organon of Medicine*, 6th Edition, English Translation, Aphorism 1, and Note, Boericke and Tafel, Philadelphia, 1922, pages 92-93.

<https://archive.org/details/organon-of-medicine-6th-edition-completed-1842-german-edition-published-1921-eng/page/92/mode/2up>

There is no curing when using Homoeoprophylaxis, as Homeoprophylaxis is used with the idea that it is a preventative.

Cuba's Mass Drug Administration

Quoting Isaac Golden, PhD and Gustavo Bracho, PhD.

“The Cuban experience with homoeoprophylaxis against leptospirosis has been and remains a very positive one. It has given rise to further government-directed immunization against hepatitis A, swine flu, pneumococcal disease, and dengue fever using homoeoprophylaxis. The Cuban health system in general demonstrates how orthodox and complementary medicine can be usefully integrated, and their willingness to use both vaccination and homoeoprophylaxis as evidence-based immunization options is an excellent example of this.”

Golden, I., Bracho, G., B., (2014) A Reevaluation of the Effectiveness of Homoeoprophylaxis Against Leptospirosis in Cuba in 2007 and 2008 in *Journal of Evidence-Based Complementary & Alternative Medicine*, sage.pub.com, Vol. 19(3), Conclusions, page 159.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/260950024_A_Reevaluation_of_the_Effectiveness_of_Homoeoprophylaxis_Against_Leptospirosis_in_Cuba_in_2007_and_2008

Golden and Bracho believe that it is acceptable to use either vaccination or homoeoprophylaxis, that they are both on a par, with comparable results.

The 'homeopathic treatment' in 2007-2008 for leptospirosis was not the preferred option as it was only used due to time constraints.

Golden considers homeoprophylaxis to be “based squarely on the Law of Similars.”

Golden and Bracho both consider homeoprophylaxis to be complementary to orthodox medicine.

Quoting George Vithoulkas

“So we have a problem with vaccinations really and we have to solve it quickly before we find out that we have damaged deeply the human race.”

Vithoulkas, G. (2016) 'Homoeoprophylaxis: The Great Misunderstanding' in *Homœopathic Links*, Vol. 29, No. 2/2016, Thieme Medical and Scientific Publishers Private Ltd., page 112.

<https://www.thieme-connect.com/products/ejournals/pdf/10.1055/s-0036-1582469.pdf>

Giving vaccinations is an allopathic business model used by the pharmaceutical industry to introduce poisons into the body when there is no disease. This poisoning causes reactions requiring continuous pharmaceutical intervention throughout life.

I believe that Homeopathy needs to set itself apart from Allopathy, as Allopathy is so damaging, it is impossible to be complementary.

Gustavo Bracho is advisor to the President and General Director of Finlay Institute, Havana, Cuba.

According to Wikipedia “The Finlay Institute, (Spanish: Instituto Finlay de Vacunas, 'Finlay Vaccine Institute') is a Cuban organization that carries out medical research and mainly produces vaccines.”

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Finlay_Institute

Issac Golden, Ph.D., D.Hom., N.D., B.Ec.(Hon) Deputy Chair & Research Member, National Institute of Integrated Medicine Director.

“Our Integrative GPs at NIIM blend conventional medical treatments with evidence-based complementary therapies.”

<https://niim.com.au/services/general-practitioners/>

Quoting Samuel Hahnemann – Aphorism 52

“There are but two principle methods of cure : the one based only on accurate observation of nature, on careful experimentation and pure experience, the homœopathic (before we never designedly used) and a second which does not do this, the heteropathic or allopathic. Each opposes the other, and only he who does not know either can hold the delusion that they can ever approach each other or even become united, or to make himself so ridiculous as to practice at one time homœopathically at another allopathically, according to the pleasure of the patient ; a practice which may be called criminal treason against divine homœopathy.”

Samuel Hahnemann, *Organon of Medicine*, 6th Edition, English Translation, Aphorism 52, Boericke and Tafel, Philadelphia, 1922, pages 135-136

<https://archive.org/details/organon-of-medicine-6th-edition-completed-1842-german-edition-published-1921-eng/page/134/mode/2up>

Cuba's so called 'Homeopathic Treatment', has nothing to do with Homeopathy.

It was actually a Mass Drug Administration of a population, originally with nosoLEP supposedly against the spirochete bacteria leptospira. This was just the start of further 'Homeopathic Treatment'/ Mass Drug Administrations, against other supposed pathogens, further supporting the Allopathic Germ Theory Model. Clearly Golden and Bracho are deluded to think that vaccination and a so called 'Homeopathic Treatment' can be “usefully integrated” when Hahnemann states this is criminal treason, that Homeopathy and Allopathy oppose each other.

Germ Theory

The Germ Theory Model would have us believe that there are sub-microscopic organisms that are pathogens – disease causing and contagious. That they can make an organism sick by coming into contact with it. The Germ Theory Model also purports that when specific microbes can not be identified, that smaller microbes, e.g. viruses, are responsible.

But does science support this theory?

“The Science Council define a scientist as someone who systematically gathers and uses research and evidence, making a hypothesis and testing it, to gain and share understanding and knowledge.”

https://uk.linkedin.com/posts/science-council_our-definition-of-a-scientist-activity-6625696578009206784-xet8



<https://drsambailey.com/resources/videos/viruses-unplugged/the-dark-side-of-foot-and-mouth-disease/>

See 12:07

Germ Theory is based on the work of Robert Koch and Louis Pasteur.

<https://drsambailey.com/resources/videos/germ-theory/why-pathogens-dont-exist/>

i) Louis Pasteur's Legacy

Wikipedia states that:

“Louis Pasteur's spontaneous generation experiment illustrates that liquid nutrients are spoiled by particles in the air rather than the air itself. These results of these experiments supported the germ theory of disease.”

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Swan_neck_flask

Dr. Samantha Bailey states: “They are conflating spontaneous generation with Germ Theory. Pasteur's Swan Neck Flask Experiments do not support Germ Theory at all.”

Paraphrasing Dr. Bailey.

- The experiments do not show pathogenic behaviour of microbes, and actually supports evidence of Terrain Theory.
- The nutrient broth in the flask is not the equivalent of an organism.
- Nutrient broths do not get diseases.
- The experiment does not show that a bacteria can invade a host.
- The experiment shows that bacteria will proliferate in suitable terrain, e.g. a nutrient broth or dead or dying tissue.
- It is wrong to call broth or food, spoiled or infected, when the food is nutrition for microbes.

When apple juice turns into vinegar, we don't say the apple juice was infected.

“Pasteur never demonstrated that bacteria could invade and cause disease in any of the animals he experimented on. His cruel experiments involved unnatural exposure routes and failed to show that bacteria had any capacity to invade a healthy host, additionally it eventually came to light that he had deceived the world with regard to the effectiveness of his vaccines. No surprises that injecting the filthy products of disease into a healthy host was a foolish and dangerous practice.”

<https://drsambailey.com/resources/videos/germ-theory/why-pathogens-dont-exist/>

ii) Robert Koch's Legacy

“Koch's Postulates

1. The microorganism found in the ill but not the healthy
2. The microorganism must be isolated from a diseased organism and grown in pure culture
3. Produce same disease in host
4. Re-isolation of microorganism”

The problem for Germ Theorists is that Koch's Postulates have never been fulfilled.

'However, whether different virologists want to entertain the validity of Koch's Postulates or not, it is simply another distraction, as **the postulates require the physical isolation of a microbe** rather than assertions that one exists through means such as computer simulations, imaging vesicles of unknown biological function, or claiming that unpurified biological soups given to animals contain “viruses”.' - Dr. Mark Bailey, A Farewell to Virology

Bailey, M. (2022) A Farewell to Virology, drsambailey.com/a-farewell-to-virology-expert-edition/, page 7.

https://archive.org/details/a-farewell-to-virology-expert-edition-v-1.2_202605/page/6/mode/2up?q=%22whether+different+virologists+want+to+entertain%22

“The Germ Theorists have distanced themselves from Koch's Postulates because if they follow the scientific method they end up refuting their own hypothesis.”

Contagion

A pathogen being contagious is also part of the Germ Theory Model.

But has contagion been proven to exist?

The Spanish Flu of 1918-1920, also known as the great influenza epidemic, had estimated death rates from 17 million to 50 million, and possibly as high as 100 million, and was considered to be one of the most contagious of diseases, a transmissible plague.

Dr. Milton J. Rosenau M.D. carried out a series of experiments to determine the mode of spread of influenza using 100 volunteers of the most susceptible age 18 to 25 in good physical condition, with the results published in the *Journal of the American Medical Association*.

The experiments included:

- Administration of a moderate amount of pure culture of bacillus of influenza, Pfeiffer's bacillus, into the nostrils of a few volunteers.
- Administration of a very large quantity of a mixture of thirteen strains of the Pfeiffer's bacillus, some obtained recently from the lungs at necropsy, others subcultures of varying age, sprayed with an atomizer into the nose, eyes, back of the throat while inhaling of 19 volunteers. Billions of organisms were estimated used on each volunteer.
- Administration of the material and mucous secretions of the mouth, nose and throat and bronchi from cases of disease, with about 1 c.c. sprayed into each nostril, into the throat while inspiring and on the eye of 10 volunteers.
- Administration of the material and mucous secretions of the mouth, nose and throat and bronchi from cases of disease, with about 6 c.c. sprayed into each nostril, into the throat while inspiring, with some of it swallowed, and on the eye of 10 volunteers.
- Administration of the material directly using a cotton swabs on the end of sticks from nose to nose, and for throat to throat using a West tube from the tonsils and posterior nasopharynx, on 19 volunteers.

All of the volunteers above received at least two attempts, and some of them three attempts at transferring the disease.

- Administration of Ten c.c. citrated blood from five influenza cases in the febrile stage by injection into 10 volunteers.
- Administration of mucous material from the upper respiratory tract with 3.5 c.c. subcutaneously injected after being passed through a Mandler filter into 10 volunteers.
- Administration by human contact with 10 influenza patients, after talking and chatting for 5 minutes at close range, the 10 volunteers were breathed on while breathing in, and coughed on.

The result of the experiments was that none of the volunteers developed influenza.

Quote from Conclusion, 'Experiments to Determine Mode of Spread of Influenza', Milton J. Rosenau, M.D.

"I think we must be very careful not to draw any positive conclusions from negative results of this kind. Many factors must be considered. Our volunteers may not have been susceptible. They may have been immune. They had been exposed as all the rest of the people had been exposed to the disease, although they gave no clinical history of the attack.

Dr. McCoy, who with Dr. Richey, did a similar series of experiments on Goat Island, San Francisco, used volunteers who, so far as known, had not been exposed to the outbreak at all, also had negative results, that is, they were unable to reproduce the disease. Perhaps there are factors, or a factor, in the transmission of influenza that we do not know.

As a matter of fact, we entered the outbreak with a notion that we knew the cause of the disease, and were quite sure we knew how it was transmitted from person to person. Perhaps, if we have learned anything, it is that we are not quite sure what we know about the disease."

Rosenau, M. J., (1919) 'Experiments to Determine Mode of Spread of Influenza' in *Journal of the American Medical Association*, Aug. 2, Vol. 73, No. 5, Boston, pages 311-313.

https://archive.org/details/rosenau-milton-j-1919-experiments-to-determine-mode-of-spread-of-influenza-journ_20251109

Dr. Rosenau started his experiments assuming influenza to be contagious, hence the title: 'Experiments to Determine Mode of Spread of Influenza'.

Using the scientific method, the results of the experiments showed there was no contagion. The conclusion should have been that influenza was not shown to spread from person to person, that it was not proven to be contagious.

Germ Theory is a refuted hypothesis, yet science persists in saying influenza is contagious.

Infection

“In the present era, infection is taken to mean invasion by microbes. That is, healthy tissue being attacked by marauding germs, which leads to a state of disease. However the original meaning of infect derived from the Latin *infectere*, which simply meant to corrupt, stain or spoil. This is consistent with the terrain paradigm where healthy tissue is compromised by trauma, poisoning and other forms of devitalization. Only on that point do the ubiquitous microbes change their activity and increase in numbers to process the dead and dying tissue.

https://drsambailey.substack.com/p/the-truth-about-antivirals-20a?utm_source=podcast-email&publication_id=1105864&post_id=196517281&utm_campaign=email-play-on-substack&utm_content=watch_now_button&r=3r709a&triedRedirect=true&utm_medium=email

See: 1:57 – 2:32

Example 5

Germ Theory and the Vital Force

Luc Montagnier, Nobel Prize Laureate, who had worked at the Pasteur Institute, is featured in the movie. Montagnier was widely known for his 'scientific' work on HIV.

But germ theory is a refuted hypothesis, and no virus has ever been shown to exist, e.g. by being isolated from everything else, as shown by Canadian biostatistician Christine Massey. In early 2020, she started the Freedom of Information Project. This revealed through FOIs from more than 200 Health and Science Institutions that they are unable to cite valid scientific evidence for any virus.

Christine's research makes it clear that 'virology is based on pseudoscience and germ "theory" has been falsified.'

<https://drsambailey.com/resources/videos/interviews/christine-massey-dont-trust-public-health/>

The documentary while continuing to promote germ theory and the existence of infectious disease, completely ignores Terrain Theory which is much more in accordance with Hahnemann's concept of the Vital Force.

Conclusion: Homoeopathy – Medicine of the Future

It is very concerning that homeopathy is under the influence of those prepared to integrate Allopathy with Homeopathy – defined as criminal treason by Hahnemann in Aphorism 52. For Homeopathy to be a Medicine of the Future, ties to allopathy must be cut. Dr. John Henry Clarke (1853-1931), editor of *The Homœopathic World* wrote scathingly of the placationists. He was so disillusioned with the state of homeopathy in England, he abandoned the British Homœopathic Society, starting his own group in 1905, which he named the Cooper Club in honour of his great friend, Dr. Robert Thomas Cooper (1844-1903). To be a member of this club, Drs were required to “cut the painter,” that is, to have abandoned all ties with Allopathy. Also, as the British Homœopathic Society was not encouraging Doctors to train as Homeopaths, Dr. Clarke started training lay people. He started a revolution in homeopathy, something that continues to this day through lay homeopaths.

As we have seen in the film *Introducing Homeopathy*, Doctor/Homeopaths are a threat to not only the homeopathic revival, but to its very survival.

There is currently a movement embracing the work of Antoine Béchamp and Terrain Theory, while at the same time rejecting the work of Louis Pasteur, Robert Koch, Germ and Virus Theory, and the Specific Disease Theory Models. These models are a refuted hypotheses, and science persisting to uphold them as fact is fraudulent.

Steps have been taken towards a New Biology. A Biology that isn't really new, but a rediscovery of a Biology that has been lost.

“The art of nursing, as now practised, seems to be expressly constituted to unmake what God had made disease to be, viz., a reparative process.”

Nightingale F., *Notes on Nursing : What it is and What it is Not*, Harrison, London, 1859, page 6.

“Organic chemistry is useful, as all knowledge is, when we come face to face with nature ; but it by no means follows that we should learn in the laboratory any one of the reparative processes going on in disease.”

Nightingale F., *Notes on Nursing : What it is and What it is Not*, Harrison, London, 1859, page 42.

“Nothing is the prey of death ; all things are the prey of life.” – Pierre Jacques Antoine Béchamp from *The Blood and its Third Anatomical Element*. 1911, Boericke and Tafel, Philadelphia, page 1.

<https://archive.org/details/the-blood-and-its-third-anatomical-element/page/n27/mode/2up>

Recent Events – 18th April 2026

I quote from Dr. Sam Bailey's report on Jitendra Banjara, who has a Masters Degree in Medical Microbiology, petitioning the High Court in India:

'In February this year the tenacious scientist Jitendra Banjara presented a petition to the High Court in India requesting evidence for both the existence of viruses and the germ theory of disease. If we look at the list of respondents, we see that includes not only the Union of India itself, but the Director General of the Indian Council of Medical Research, the Director of the National Institute of Virology, and the Director for the National Centre for Disease Control, in other words all the big names from whom the public would expect to have the required evidence on hand. After all, these are the organizations and individuals that push lockdowns, vaccines, pharmaceuticals, and various other measures in their apparent war against microbes.

The introduction of the petition reads as follows.'

“By way of this public interest litigation, the petitioner is hereby seeking direction from this Honourable Court to direct the concerned respondents to immediately provide substantial evidence and perform real time practical demonstrations to prove the claimed particles are real physical entities as disease-causing particles called viruses. . .”

“... that living microorganisms i.e. bacteria, fungus, protozoa, nematodes & others cause disease; furthermore the reality of immunity and immunization as per the claim & narrative of medical microbiology respectively...”

“The germ theory of disease is the base of inhuman national health policies [and a] waste of taxpayers money, putting human life in danger in the name of prevention and control measures.”

“Hence, absence of the proof of the Germ theory of disease, the petitioners seek to direct the immediate shutdown of medical biology.”

<https://drsambailey.com/resources/videos/viruses-unplugged/high-court-showdown-can-india-prove-viruses-exist/>

See 6:05 – 7:52

<https://drsambailey.com/wp-content/uploads/2026/04/Writ-Petition-for-the-High-Court-by-Jitendra-Banjara-authorised-by-Team-IMIS-Jan-2026.pdf>

“We have sometimes wondered if it was worth while to occupy our somewhat scanty space with leading articles, which, as far as we could judge seemed to make little impression, and produce very little result. The letter of Dr. Dishington in our present number is evidence that our words are taken seriously, and, thus encouraged, we have decided to carry on.

First of all, let it be understood that we have nothing to do with personalities. All we are concerned with is principles, and persons only come in as they make themselves the incarnation of principles. For more years than Dr. Dishinton can boast of, we have been in conflict with a certain principle in homœopathic politics, and now we have to confess ourselves completely and handsomely beaten. We claim no “moral victory” even; we are marooned on our St. Helena and this is probably the very last word we shall ever utter on the subject from our lonely rock.

Our Waterloo came when the little *British Homœopathic Directory* was killed in 1911. The execution was deliberately planned and long premeditated. The reason was this: So long as a separate directory of Homœopathic practitioners existed the medical peerage and baronetage would or might say that it was unethical or schismatic. This deferential attitude towards Allopathic Authority is what we call, the Policy of Placation. The opposite policy, for which we have contended in vain, is a policy of absolute Liberty to do anything and everything possible to promote the art and science of Homœopathy regardless of anything which ignorant persons, howsoever exalted, may think, or say, or do.

Placation having this handsomely won, what has been the result? It has come to pass that medical peers, baronets, knights and O.B.E.’s have been known to shake hands with Homœopaths and even to smile upon Homœopathy. But we have not observed that the exalted ones are any less ignorant of Hahnemann’s Art and Science than they were when they had for it nothing but frowns.

This is one great score for Placation, and there may be others. For ought we know to the contrary the brilliant band of recruits to Homœopathy from the Glasgow School, including Dr. Dishington himself, may have been brought into the fold by Placation, though we have always attributed it to the successful curative work and the genius of the late Dr. Gibson Miller of that city.

Granting all this, and perhaps much more, as the fruit of the victory, what can be said of its cost?

The death of the *Directory* was brought about in order to compel all homœopaths who wished their existence known to become members of the British Homœopathic Society. The Society publishes a list of its members with their addresses and the most pernicky of medical aristocrats could not, so it was thought, raise any objection to this. Neither has he, so far as we are aware; and this, of course, must be put to the credit side of Placation. But then, he raised no objection to the *Directory* that we ever heard of. On the other side, a number of practitioners who have no wish to become members of the British Homœopathic Society, have now no means of making their existence or whereabouts known, and the public who wish for homœopathic advice have no means of finding out where it may be obtained.

That is one item. Next, the *Directory* contained a list of Homœopathic Chemists, and this is now nowhere to be found unless in paid-for advertisements. Now the chemists’ shops have been a great feature in spreading a knowledge of Homœopathy among the people. The Homœopathic chemists were not only chemists, but booksellers and even publishers as well. Sir Jesse Boot seems to have taken serious note of this aspect of a possible sideline in “Druggists’ sundries.” With the disappearance of the *Directory*, whether as consequence or sequence, a large proportion of the Pharmacies have disappeared altogether, doubtless to the high satisfaction of the Placationists.

With the Chemists have gone the Practitioners. In the provinces, huge centres of population like Newcastle-on-Tyne and Nottingham which in olden days were well supplied with Homœopathic doctors, are now left orphans and the same tale is told all over the provinces, the number of practitioners being reduced, when they are not altogether extinguished.

Then what about Institutions? The besom of Fate is wiping them out too. Hospitals and

Dispensaries which once were flourishing, have disappeared, are disappearing, or are in process of being “converted”—or “verted,” had we better call it?—or is “perverted” the word?

Truly Placation can claim a glorious victory. But whatever may become of us in our defeat, let it not be imagined for a moment that we are in any despair about the Science and Art of Hahnemann. No number of Placation victories can sweep that away, any more than its besom can sweep the sun out of the sky. Let all the schools and all the doctors be wiped out of existence, Homœopathy will still live and thrive if it is only in Indian forests, on New Zealand sheep-farms, and in Convent Garden Market.”

Clarke, John H., (1928) 'Placatio Victrix' in *The Homœopathic World*, December, The Homœopathic Publishing Co., pages 309-311.

Hahnemann's Place in Medicine

The film *Introducing Homeopathy* would have us believe that the homeopathic principles were 'discovered' by Hahnemann, when in fact they had already been described by Paracelsus (1493-1541), though his work had largely been forgotten. The famous English homeopath John Henry Clarke, wrote in the article Hahnemann's Place in Medicine, in *The Homeopathic World*, August 1922, page 215, of Hahnemann not giving Paracelsus the credit he deserved.

<https://archive.org/details/hahnemanns-place-in-medicine>

Also Matthew Wood, in his book *Vitalism: The History of Herbalism, Homeopathy, and Flower Essences*, states "Almost the whole of homeopathy was anticipated in Paracelsian medicine."

Quoting Linn Boyd:

"The Paracelsian writings advance a simile, the small dose, the necessity for having drug pictures, the totality of the symptoms, the relationship of drug to disease, the single remedy, the individualization of the patient. All of these are attributes of the modern [homeopathic] simile which, however, applies these thoughts on a quite different basis."

Boyd, Linn (1936) *A History of the Simile in Medicine*, Boericke and Tafel, Philadelphia, page 16.

Further Reading

The Truth About Smallpox

<https://odysee.com/@katie.su:7/thetruthaboutsmallpox:9>

Béchamp or Pasteur? A Lost Chapter in the History of Biology

<https://archive.org/details/bechamp-or-pasteur-a-lost-chapter-in-the-history-of-biology.-3rd-edition>

Pasteur the Plagiarist: The Debt of Science to Béchamp

https://archive.org/details/pasteur-the-plagiarist-images_20240807

Placation in Excelsis

<https://archive.org/details/placation-in-excelsis/mode/2up>

Dr. Tom Cowan on Biological Scientist Harold Hillman's 47 Unanswered Questions for Biologists!

<https://odysee.com/@Dr.TomCowan:8/Hillman:6>

The Fine Structure of the Living Cell: a re-examination - Harold Hillman 1977.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=h1DKp2c7KAg>

The New Biology Clinic

<https://newbiologyclinic.com/>